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## Introduction

For $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk of the complex plane and $\mathbb{T}$ its boundary. By $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ we denote the space of all bounded analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. The big Lipschitz algebra is defined by the following:

$$
\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}:=\left\{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}: \sup _{\substack{z,(z-\epsilon \in \in \mathbb{D} \\ \epsilon \neq 0}} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|f_{n}(z)-f_{n}(z-\epsilon)\right|}{|\epsilon|^{\alpha^{2}}}<+\infty\right\},
$$

where $0<\alpha^{2} \leq 1$ is a real number. It is clear that Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ is included in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, the usual disk algebra of all sequences of analytic functions $f_{n}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ that are continuous on $\mathbb{D}$. It is well known that Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ is a nonseparable commutative Banach algebra when equipped with the series of norms

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}:=\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}+\sup _{\substack{z,(z-\epsilon) \in \mathbb{D} \\ \epsilon \neq 0}} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|f_{n}(z)-f_{n}(z-\epsilon)\right|}{|\epsilon|^{\alpha^{2}}}
$$

where $\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \sum_{n}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|$ is the series of supremum norms. We note that

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}^{\prime}:=\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|,
$$

defines an equivalent norm on $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$, see for example, Theorem 5.1 (Duren, 1970: 23). From now on, we denote by $U \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ an inner function and by $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ a closed set such that $\mathbb{E} \supseteq \sigma(U) \cap \mathbb{T}$, where

$$
\sigma(U):=\left\{\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}: \lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow \lambda \\ z \in \mathbb{D}}} \inf |U(z)|=0\right\}
$$

is called the spectrum of $U$ (Nikol'Skii, 2012: 62-63). It is known that $\sigma(U)=\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{U}} \cup$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{U}\right)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{U}$ is the zero set in $\mathbb{D}$ of $U$ and supp $\left(\mu_{U}\right)$ is the closed support of the singular measure $\mu_{U}$ associated to the singular part of $U$. We set

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})}(\mathbb{E}, U):=\left\{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}): \sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{\mid \mathbb{E}} \equiv 0 \text { and } \frac{\sum_{n} f_{n}}{U} \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}\right\}
$$

The structure of closed ideals in the disk algebra was given independently by Beurling and Rudin (Hoffman, 1988: 85; Rudin, 1957: 426-434). They proved that if $\mathfrak{T}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, then

$$
\mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}, U_{\mathfrak{I}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}:=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{T}: \sum_{n} f_{n}(\xi)=0, \forall f_{n} \in \mathfrak{I}\right\}$ is known as the hull of $\mathfrak{I}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{I}}$ is the greatest inner common divisor of the inner parts of the nonzero functions in $\mathfrak{I}$. (Bouya, 2008: 1446-1468; Bouya, 2009: 282-298; Korenbljum, 1972: 111; Matheson, 1977: 6772; Shamoyan, 1994: 425-445; Shirokov, 1982: 1316-1333) described the complete structure of the closed ideals in some separable Banach algebras of analytic functions. They proved that they are standard in the sense of the above Beurling and Rudin characterization. However, the structure of the closed ideals of nonseparable Banach algebras of analytic functions seems to be much more difficult (Gorkin, 2000; Hedenmalm, 1987: 142-166; Hoffman, 1967: 74-111) and references therein for the analytic case and (Sherbert, 1964: 240-272) for the non analytic case.

We set

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U):=\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})}(\mathbb{E}, U) \cap \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U):=\left\{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U): \lim _{\delta_{r} \rightarrow 0} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r} \sum_{n}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|=0\right\}
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}: d(z, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta_{r}\right\}, \quad 0<\delta_{r}<1
$$

and $d(z, \mathbb{E})$ notes the Euclidean distance from the point $z \in \mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{E}$. The spaces $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ are clearly closed ideals of the algebra Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U) \subseteq$ $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$. It is known that there exists a nonzero series of functions $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in L i p \alpha_{\alpha^{2}}$ with boundary zero set $\mathbb{E}$ and inner factor $U$ if and only if the following Carleson condition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log d\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{U}\right) d \theta>-\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Theorem (4) below. So under condition (1.1), we have $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}=\mathbb{E}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{I}}=U$ when $\mathfrak{I}$ equals $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ or $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$. For every closed ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq$ Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ we obviously have $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq$ $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{Z}}, U_{\mathfrak{Z}}\right)$. On the other hand, T.V. Pedersen proved in Theorem 4.1 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59) that $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}, U_{\mathfrak{Z}}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$, for every $\mathfrak{I}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}$ is a countable set. A result of this
type was stated first in by Hedenmalm (1987: 142-166) in the algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ and Lip $_{1}$, for closed ideals $\mathfrak{I}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}$ is a single point. We also note that the closed ideals with countable hull in many different separable Banach algebras were characterized in Agrafeuil and Zarrabi (2008: 19-56). In Hedenmalm (1987: 142-166) and Pedersen (2004: 33-59) the authors use the classical resolvent method (also called the Carleman transform) which seems to be difficult to apply when $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}$ is uncountable. Bouya and Zarrabi (2013: 575-583) show that the above inclusion always holds. To do this we give an adaptation in the space $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ of Korenblum's functional approximation method (Korenbljum, 1972: 111), see also (Bouya, 2008: 1446-1468; Matheson, 1992: 136-144). The main result is the following theorem (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583).

## Results

Theorem (1): Let $I \subseteq \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ be a closed ideal, where $0<\alpha^{2} \leq 1$. Then $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}, U_{\mathfrak{I}}\right) \subseteq I$.

It follows that for every closed ideal $I$ of $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{T}}, U_{\mathfrak{I}}\right) \subseteq I \subseteq I_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{T}}, U_{\mathfrak{Z}}\right)$. We note that for $\epsilon \geq-1$, it is shown in, Corollary 4.7 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59) that the set of closed ideals lying between $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\{1\}, \psi_{1+\epsilon}\right)$ and $I_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\{1\}, \psi_{1+\epsilon}\right)$ is uncountable, where $\psi_{1+\epsilon}$ is the following singular function

$$
\psi_{1+\epsilon}(z):=e^{(1+\epsilon) \frac{z+1}{z-1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We also obtain the following corollary.
Corollary (1): The closed ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is principal and is generated by any series of functions $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ with inner factor $U$ and boundary zero set $\mathbb{E}$.

To prove Theorem (1) we extend some approximation results obtained in Pedersen (2004: 33-59) by using the factorization property (also called the F-property) of the space $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}):=\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, 1)$, which we state in the following theorem.

Theorem (2): Let $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ be a series of functions and $V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ be an inner function dividing $\sum_{n} g_{n}$, that is $\sum_{n} g_{n} / V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. If $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$, then $\sum_{n} g_{n} / V \in$ $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$. We note that Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ possesses the F-property; If $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ and $V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is an inner function such that $\sum_{n} f_{n} / V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ then $\sum_{n} f_{n} / V \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ and $\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n} / V\right\|_{\alpha^{2}} \leq$ $c_{\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}$, where $c_{\alpha^{2}}$ is a positive constant independent of the functions $f_{n}$ and $V$ (Shirokov, 1988).

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we use Theorem (2) to give the proof of Theorem (1). Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem (2). The last section is devoted to presenting an elementary proof of Theorem (2) in the case $0<\alpha^{2}<$ 1.

Proof of Theorem (1):
Some technical results
For $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ we denote by $U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$ and $O_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$ the inner and the outer factors of $f_{n}$. By $B_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$ the Blaschke product with zeros

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}: \sum_{n} f_{n}(z)=0\right\}
$$

counting the multiplicities. For a closed ideal I of $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$, we set

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{I}}:=\bigcap_{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathfrak{I}} \mathbb{Z}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}
$$

and we denote by $B_{\mathfrak{I}}$ the Blaschke product with zeros $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, counting the multiplicities. In fact $B_{\mathfrak{I}}$ is the Blaschke product factor of $U_{\mathfrak{I}}$. We need the following result to show the next one (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583).

Lemma (1): Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number. The set $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U) \cap \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}(\mathbb{E})$ is dense in $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$, where

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}(\mathbb{E}):=\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}: \exists C>0, \sum_{n}\left|f_{n}(\xi)\right| \leq C d^{p}(\xi, \mathbb{E}) \text { for all } \xi \in \mathbb{T}
$$

Proof: Here, we will just point out the steps in the proof of Proposition 5.3 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59) that prove the present lemma. For a real number $\delta_{r} \in(0,1)$, we let $\mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{2, \delta_{r}}$ be two closed disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}=$ $\mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}} \cup \mathbb{E}_{2, \delta_{r}}$. By using Proposition 5.4 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59), we have $O_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}=O_{1, \delta_{r}} \times$ $O_{2, \delta_{r}}$, where $O_{i, \delta_{r}} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ are outer functions such that $\mathbb{E}_{O_{i, \delta_{r}}}=\mathbb{E}_{i, \delta_{r}}(i=1,2)$. We have $\mathbb{T} \backslash \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$, where $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \backslash \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}$ is an open arc joining the points $a_{n}, b_{n} \in \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $F_{N}$ to be the outer function with boundary modulus defined as follows

$$
\left|F_{N}(\xi)\right|:=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\left|O_{1, \delta_{r}}(\xi)\right|, & \text { if } \xi \in \Omega_{\mathrm{N}} \\
1, & \text { if } \xi \in \mathbb{T} \backslash \Omega_{\mathrm{N}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where

$$
\Omega_{\mathrm{N}}:=\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)
$$

Since the set $\mathbb{E} \backslash \partial \Omega_{\mathrm{N}}$ is finite we can set $\mathbb{E} \backslash \partial \Omega_{\mathrm{N}}:=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m_{N}}\right\}$. Also, we define

$$
K_{i, \mu}(z):=\frac{z-c_{i}}{z-c_{i}(1+\mu)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

In Pedersen (2004: 52-53) it is shown that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist parameters $\delta_{r}, t, N, q, \mu$ and $p$ such that the function

$$
h:=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m_{N}} K_{i, \mu}\right)^{p} F_{N}^{q} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n} h-f_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}} \leq \varepsilon$. Hence every series of functions $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ can be approximated by functions in $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U) \cap \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}(\mathbb{E})$, using the simple fact that $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U) \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$ and that $U$ divides $\sum_{n} f_{n} h$. So $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U) \cap \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}(\mathbb{E})$ is dense in $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$. This finishes the proof of Lemma (4).

To show the main theorem we need the following Proposition which in particular gives an answer to the question (2) in Pedersen (2004: 47) (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583).

Proposition (3): Let $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ be a series of functions such that $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right)$. Then $\overline{L l p_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right)$.

Proof: Let $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right)$ be a function. It is clear that

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Llp}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) .
$$

We have to show that $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{LLp_{\alpha ^{2}}(\sum _{n}f_{n})} \text {. Using Lemma (1) it is }}$ sufficient to show that $\left.\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \cap \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \subseteq \overline{L \iota p_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right.}\right)$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \cap \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right)$ be a function and suppose that $\epsilon>0$. We note that $O_{\sum_{n} f_{n}} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right)$, by Theorem (2) According to the proof of Proposition 5.2 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59) the series of functions $\sum_{n} g_{n}$ can be approximated by functions of the form $\sum_{n}\left(g_{n} h O_{f_{n}}\right)$, where $h \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ (see assertions (i)-(ii) in Pedersen (2004: 48) and assertions (11)-(a)-(b) in Pedersen (2004: 50). By using the F-property $\sum_{n}\left(g_{n} / U_{f_{n}}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$. Then $\sum_{n}\left(h g_{n} O_{f_{n}}\right)=\sum_{n} h\left(g_{n} / U_{f_{n}}\right) f_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right)$. It follows that $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in$ $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right)$. Hence
$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \cap \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha^{2}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \subseteq \overline{L \iota p_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right)}$. The proof of Proposition (3) is finished.

The following space

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D}):=\left\{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}): \sum_{n} f_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})\right\}
$$

endowed with norm

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{1}}:=\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}+\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad \sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})
$$

is a Banach algebra. Clearly $\mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ is continuously embedded in Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$. The following theorem is proved in Theorems 2 and 4 (Korenblum, 1971: 24-27), see also Theorem in Taylor and Williams (1971: 129-139) and Bouya and Zarrabi (2013: 575-583).

Theorem (4): Let $\sum_{n} f_{n}$ be a nonzero series of functions in Lip $\alpha^{2}$. Then the closed set
$\sum_{n}\left(\mathbb{E}_{f_{n}} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{f_{n}}\right)$ satisfies the condition (1.1). Conversely if $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{U}$ satisfies the condition (1.1), then there exists a series of functions $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}=$ $U, \mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}=\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}^{\prime}} \supseteq \mathbb{E}$.

Now we can give the proof of the main theorem by using Proposition (3) and Theorem (4) (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583).

Proof of Theorem (1):
Let $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ be a closed ideal. Since $\mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ is continuously embedded in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ then $\mathfrak{I}_{1}:=\mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathfrak{I}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$. It is clear that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{I}}$ divides $U_{\mathfrak{Z}_{1}}$.

Now, let $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathfrak{T} \backslash\{0\}$ be a series of functions. It is easily seen that $\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}:=$ $\sum_{n}\left(f_{n} O_{f_{n}}\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}}\right)$.

Then $\overline{L \iota p_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}\right)}=\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}}, U_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}}\right)$, by using Proposition (3) since $\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}}=\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}}=U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$ and $\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1} \in \mathfrak{T}$ then $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$.

By Theorem (4) there exists a series of functions $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $U_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}=U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, \mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}=\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}} \quad$ and $\quad \mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} g_{n}^{\prime}} \supseteq \mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$. It is clear that $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}, U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}\right)$. Then $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathfrak{I}$ and by consequence $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}$. We conclude that $U_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}}$ divides $U_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} f_{n}}$ for every series of functions $\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathfrak{I} \backslash\{0\}$. So $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}}=$ $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}}=U_{\mathfrak{Z}}$. According to the structure of closed ideals in $\mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ given in Matheson (1977: 67-72).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D}): \sum_{n} f_{n} \backslash U_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}} \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \text { and } \sum_{n} f_{n}=\sum_{n} f_{n}^{\prime}=0 \text { on } \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}_{1}}\right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{n} f_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D}): \sum_{n} f_{n} \backslash U_{\mathfrak{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \text { and } \sum_{n} f_{n}=\sum_{n} f_{n}^{\prime}=0 \text { on } \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{I}}\right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{T} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Theorem (4) there exists a function $\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0} \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $U_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0}}=$ $U_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0}}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{0}} \supseteq \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{T}}$. Then $\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0} \in \mathfrak{T}$ by (2.2). It follows that $\overline{L \iota p_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0}\right)} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$. Since $\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0}}\right)$ then $\overline{L \iota p_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)_{0}\right)}=\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{T}}, U_{\mathfrak{I}}\right)$, by using Proposition (3) hence $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{T}}, U_{\mathfrak{I}}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. The proof of Theorem (1) is completed.

Proof of Corollary (2) (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583):
It follows clearly from Theorem (1) that $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is generated by any series of functions $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ such that $U_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}=U$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}=E$. So we have just to check that such functions exist. If $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U) \neq\{0\}$ then $\mathbb{E} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{U}$ satisfies the condition (1.1) by Theorem (4). Now the existence of such functions follows again from Theorem (4), which finishes the proof of Corollary (1).

Proof of Theorem (2) (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583):
Let $\sum_{n} g_{n}$ be a nonzero series of functions in $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$ such that $V$ divides $U_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}$. We set

$$
k:=\sum_{n} g_{n} / V
$$

For a real number $\delta_{r} \in(0,1)$, we let $\mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{2, \delta_{r}}$ be two closed disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}=\mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}} \cup \mathbb{E}_{2, \delta_{r}}$. By using Proposition 5.4 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59), we have $O_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}=O_{1, \delta_{r}} \times O_{2, \delta_{r}}$, where $O_{i, \delta_{r}} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ are outer functions such that $\mathbb{E}_{o_{i, \delta_{r}}}=\mathbb{E}_{i, \delta_{r}}(i=1,2)$. The function $O_{i, \delta_{r}}$ is constructed such that
$\log \left|O_{i, \delta_{r}}\right|=\chi_{i} \log \left|O_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}\right|=\chi_{i} \log \sum_{\mathrm{n}}\left|g_{n}\right|$ on $\mathbb{T}$, where $\chi_{i}$ is a function such that $0 \leq \chi_{i} \leq 1$. This implies in particular that $\left|O_{i, \delta_{r}}\right| \leq \sum_{\mathrm{n}}\left|g_{n}\right|+1$. We have

$$
\sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left(g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}-g_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\sum_{r} t O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{\prime} O_{2, \delta_{r}} U_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}+\sum_{r}\left(O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}-1\right) \sum_{n} g_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left\|g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}-g_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}^{\prime} \leq \sum_{r} v\left(t, \delta_{r}\right)+\left(\sum_{n}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{\infty}+2\right) \sup _{z \in \mathbb{E}\left(2 \delta_{r}\right)}(1- \\
|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}} \sum_{r} \sum_{n}\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

here $t \in(0,1)$ is a real number and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r} v\left(t, \delta_{r}\right)=\sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left\|g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}-g_{n}\right\|_{\infty}+t \sum_{r}\left\|O_{1, \delta_{r}}\right\|_{\infty}^{t}\left\|O_{2, \delta_{r}}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|O_{1, \delta_{r}}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}^{\prime} \\
&+\sum_{n}\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}\left(2 \delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r}\left|O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}-1\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is plain to see that, for every real number $\delta_{r}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}\left(\delta_{r}^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{r}\left|O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}(z)-1\right|=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}\left(2 \delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r}\left|O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}(z)-1\right|=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the fact that $\mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}\left(2 \delta_{r}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}\left(\delta_{r}\right)$. From (4) and the fact that $\sum_{n} g_{n}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{D}$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{E}_{1, \delta_{r}}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left\|g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t}-g_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for a fixed $\delta_{r} \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sum_{r} v\left(t, \delta_{r}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using (3.3) and (3.4). Now, since $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E}, U)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{E}\left(2 \delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n}\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from (3.1), (3.4) and (3.6) that for every $\delta_{r} \in(0,1)$ there exists a number $t\left(\delta_{r}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left\|g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}-g_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the F-property of $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r}\left\|k\left(O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}-1\right)\right\|_{\alpha^{2}} \leq c_{\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left\|g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}-1\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}, \quad \text { for all } 0<\delta_{r}<1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha^{2}}>0$ is a constant independent of $\delta_{r}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sum_{r}\left\|k\left(O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}-1\right)\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By computing the derivative we see easily that $k O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$, for all $0<\delta_{r}<1$. Hence $k \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$, as consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$ is closed and (3.9). This finishes the proof of Theorem (2).

Remark (5): From theorem (2) we have

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|k\left(O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}-1\right)\right\|_{\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}=\sum_{n} \sum_{r}\left\|g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}-1\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n} / V\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}
$$

Hence, using (3.8) and (3.9) we deduce
(i) $\quad g_{n} O_{1, \delta_{r}}^{t\left(\delta_{r}\right)}=1$ for all $n, r>0$.
(ii) If $\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n} / V\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}=0$ implies that $\left\|\sum_{n} f_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}=0$ or $\|V\|_{\alpha^{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n, r>0$

Remark (6): In appendix A below we give an elementary proof of Theorem (2) for
$0<\alpha^{2}<1$ based on an estimation of some classical Toeplitz operators. However we do not know how to extend this proof to the limit case $\alpha^{2}=1$.

Appendix A. A Toeplitz method for the F-property of $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$
In this section we consider the spaces $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ such that $0<\alpha^{2}<1$. The proof in the following section is inspired from Shirokov (1988: 8).

Let $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ be a closed set. We define in Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ the following Toeplitz operator

$$
T_{V}\left(\sum_{n} g_{n}\right):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{n} \frac{g_{n}(\zeta) \overline{V(\zeta)}}{\zeta-z} d \zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

where $V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is a function. We start with the following proposition (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583).

Proposition (6): Let $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ where $0<\alpha^{2}<1$ is a real number. For every function $V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n}\left|\left(T_{V}\left(g_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)(z)\right|<+\infty \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If moreover $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta_{r} \rightarrow 0} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}} \sum_{n}\left|\left(T_{V}\left(g_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)(z)\right|=0 \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly with respect to all functions $V$ such that $\|V\|_{\infty} \leq 1$.
Proof: Let $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in$ Lip $_{\alpha^{2}}$ where $0<\alpha^{2}<1$ is a real number. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{V}\left(\sum_{n} g_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)(z):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma_{n} \frac{g_{n}(\zeta) \overline{V(\zeta)}}{(\zeta-z)^{2}} d \zeta=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma_{n} \frac{\left(g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z / z \mid)\right) \overline{V(\zeta)}}{(\zeta-z)^{2}} d \zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}}\left|\left(T_{V}\left(\sum_{n} g_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)(z)\right| \quad \leq \frac{\|V\|_{\infty}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}}}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z /|z|)\right|}{(\zeta-z)^{2}}|d \zeta|  \tag{A.4}\\
\leq\|V\|_{\infty} \sum_{n}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\zeta-z|^{2}-2}{|z|^{\alpha^{2}}}|d \zeta|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{A.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The following classical equality

$$
\left|e^{i t}-|z| e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}=(1-|z|)^{2}+4|z| \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta-t)\right)
$$

gives the following one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\zeta-z|^{\alpha^{2}-2}}{|z|^{\alpha^{2}}}=\frac{2^{\alpha^{2}}\left|\sin \left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta-t)\right)\right|^{\alpha^{2}}}{(1-|z|)^{2}+4|z| \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta-t)\right)} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z:=|z| e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta:=e^{i t} \in \mathbb{T}$. Therefore

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\zeta-z|^{\alpha^{2}-2}}{|z|^{\alpha^{2}}}|d \zeta| \leq c \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha^{2}}}{(1-|z|)^{2}+(1+\epsilon)^{2}} d(1+\epsilon) \leq c_{\alpha^{2}}(1-|z|)^{\alpha^{2}-1},
$$

where $c$ and $c_{\alpha^{2}}$ are constants. By combining (A.5) and (A.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha^{2}}\left|\left(T_{V}\left(\sum_{n} g_{n}\right)\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq c_{\alpha^{2}}\|V\|_{\infty} \sum_{n}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves (A.1)
Now we suppose that $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$ and that $\|V\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a positive number. It follows from Proposition 3.1 (Pedersen, 2004: 33-59), there exists a real number $0<\delta_{r}<1$ such that

$$
\sum_{n}\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(\xi)\right| \leq\left.\varepsilon|\zeta-\xi|\right|^{\alpha^{2}}, \quad \zeta, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \cap \mathbb{T} .
$$

For a point $z \in \mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z /|z|)\right||V(\zeta)|}{(\zeta-z)^{2}}|d \zeta| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z /|z|)\right|}{(\zeta-z)^{2}}|d \zeta| \leq
$$

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{E}}\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z /|z|)\right|}{(\zeta-z)^{2}}|d \zeta|+\int_{\mathbb{T} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{E}}\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z /|z|)\right|}{(\zeta-z)^{2}}|d \zeta| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\left.|\zeta-z|\right|^{2}-2}{|z|^{\alpha^{2}}}|d \zeta|+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{\alpha^{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{T} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{E}}\left(\delta_{r}\right)} \sum_{r} \frac{|\zeta-z|^{\alpha^{2}-2}}{|z|^{\alpha^{2}}}|d \zeta| \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<\delta_{r}^{\prime}<\delta_{r} / 2$ and $z \in \mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}^{\prime}\right)$. By using (A.6),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbb{T} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}\right)}} \sum_{r} \frac{|\zeta-z|^{\alpha^{2}-2}}{|z|^{\alpha^{2}}}|d \zeta| \leq c \int_{(1+\epsilon) \geq \frac{\delta_{r}^{\prime}-\delta_{r}^{\prime}}{2 \delta_{r}^{\prime}} \frac{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha^{2}}}{(1-|z|)^{2}+(1+\epsilon)^{2}} d(1+\epsilon) \leq c_{\alpha^{2}}(1-}^{|z|)^{\alpha^{2}-1} \int_{u \geq \frac{\delta r-\delta_{r}^{\prime}}{2 \delta_{r}^{\prime}}} \sum_{r} \frac{u^{\alpha^{2}}}{1+u^{2}} d u, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}^{\prime}\right)} \text { (A.10) }
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c$ and $c_{\alpha^{2}}$ are constants not depending on $\delta_{r}$ and $\delta_{r}^{\prime}$ hence, for sufficiently small $\delta_{r}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(z /|z|)\right||V(\zeta)|}{|\zeta-z|^{2}}|d \zeta| \leq \varepsilon c_{\alpha^{2}}^{\prime}(1-|z|)^{\alpha^{2}-1}, z \in \mathbb{E}\left(\delta_{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

by combining (A.7), (A.9) and (A.10). We deduce that (A.2) holds as consequence of (A.4) and (A.11). This finishes the proof of Lemma 6.

The following corollary gives the F-property of the spaces $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$ directly from Proposition (6) (Bouya and Zarrabi, 2013: 575-583).

Corollary (2): Let $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$ where $0<\alpha^{2}<1$ is a real number. Let $V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ be an inner function dividing $U_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}$. We have the following assertions

1. The series of functions $\sum_{n} g_{n} / V$ belongs to $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha^{2}}$.
2. If $\sum_{n} g_{n} \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$, then $\sum_{n} g_{n} / V \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha^{2}}(\mathbb{E})$.

Proof: Since $V \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is an inner function dividing $U_{\sum_{n} g_{n}}$, then $T_{V}\left(\sum_{n} g_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} g_{n} / V$. The proof of the assertions 1 and 2 are deduced by applying Proposition (6).
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